

(no nousing)					
Proposal Title :	117 Darkes Forest Rd Dar housing)	kes Forest - use of former min	e site for cider processing facility (no		
Proposal Summary :	The proposal will allow the use of surface buildings and hardstand areas of the former Darkes Forest Mine site for a cider processing facility and associated development including a cellar door premises, cooking school and cafe, and bed and breakfast accommodation (associated with existing an dwelling on the property). It will also rezone some land from E2 to E3 and change height of building controls.				
PP Number :	PP_2017_WOLLG_001_00	Dop File No :	17/09327		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	04-Jul-2017	LGA covered :	Wollongong City		
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Wollongong City Council		
State Electorate :	HEATHCOTE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
Location Details					
Street : 11	7 Darkes Forest Road				
Suburb : Da	rkes Forest Cit	ty :	Postcode :		
Land Parcel : Lo	t 86 DP 752054				
DoP Planning Offi	icer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Louise Myler				
Contact Number :	0242249463				
Contact Email :	louise.myler@planning.nsv	v.gov.au			
RPA Contact Deta	ils				
Contact Name :	Erina Kilpatrick				
Contact Number :	0242277111				
Contact Email :	ekilpatrick@wollongong.ns	sw.gov.au			
DoP Project Manager Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Graham Towers				
Contact Number :	0242249467				

no nousiny)			
Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
n No, comment .			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
dequacy Assessmer	•		
Statement of the ob	rajent da y terspina ayoni patenini, energi yang defendation da bin da bin da bin T		
Is a statement of the ot	ojectives provided? Yes		
Comment :		s clearly identify the intended o	utcomes of the proposal.
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55(2)(b))	
Is an explanation of pro	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The documentation identifi proposal:	es changes to the Wollongong	LEP 2009 that will result from the

- * The site will be added to Schedule 1 to permit the following additional uses:
 - agricultural produce industry (fruit crushing, fermenting and bottling)
 - information and education facility (visitor centre)
 - industrial retail outlet (cellar door)
 - food and drink premises (cooking school and cafe).

* Rezone land around the existing dwelling, car park and mine buildings from E2 Environmental Conservation to E3 Environmental Management to reflect existing and potential future uses of these areas.

* Introduce a 9m height limit on land proposed to be zoned E3 Environmental

Management.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007

 e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Unknown

If No, explain :

Section 117 Directions

Council has identified applicable Section 117 Directions and has noted that the proposal is inconsistent or that there is insufficient information to identify consistency with the following s117 Directions:

2.1 Environment Protection Zones

This Direction states that a planning proposal must include provisions that facilitate the protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas; and must not reduce the environmental protection standards that apply to land in an environmental protection zone.

This proposal does reduce the environmental protection standards as it rezones land from E2 Environmental Conservation to E3 Environmental Management. However, the environmentally sensitive areas will be protected by limiting development to existing buildings and hardstand areas. Areas containing EECs and other environmental values will retain the E2 zone.

The Secretary's delegate may be satisfied that any inconsistency is of minor significance.

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection This Direction requires consultation with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS) prior to undertaking community consultation, and must take any comments made by the Commissioner into account.

Council has had preliminary discussions with RFS which raise no objection to the proposal. RFS has noted that a Bush Fire Safety Authority from RFS would be required as part of a development application for a bed and breakfast accommodation.

RFS will be further consulted if the proposal is supported through the Gateway process.

The Secretary's delegate may be satisfied that the Gateway Determination requirement for consultation with the RFS prior to exhibition will ensure consistency with this Direction.

5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments

This Direction aims to protect water quality in the Sydney drinking water catchment. The Direction requires a neutral or beneficial effect (NorBE)on water quality. Planning proposals must be consistent with State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011. The relevant planning authority must consult with the relevant authority and provide advice from the authority to the Department when seeking a gateway determination.

The northern part of the subject land (area north of Darkes Forest Road) is within the Sydney Drinking Water Catchment.

Council has undertaken preliminary consultation with Water NSW. Water NSW advised that it did not object to the proposal. However, it did not support the bed and breakfast component of the proposal and identified that a NorBE assessment is required to support the use of the site for bed and breakfast accommodation.

It is appropriate that, if supported, the Gateway determination include a requirement for additional information on potential water quality impacts prior to exhibition of the proposal.

The proposal is considered to be consistent with the other applicable s117 Directions:

- 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
- 1.5 Rural Lands
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
- 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

The Secretary's approval is not required in relation to these Directions.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Secretary's delegate be satisfied that any inconsistency with s117 Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones is of minor significance.

It is recommended that the Secretary's delegate be satisfied that the proposal will be consistent with 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection following consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service.

It is recommended that the Secretary's delegate note that additional information will be required to determine consistency with 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchment.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)

The proposal is consistent with relevant SEPPs with the exception of SEPP Sydney Drinking Water Catchment 2011 and Greater Metropolitan Regional Environmental Plan No.2 - Georges River Catchment.

Additional information on water quality will be required as a condition of the Gateway determination, if supported.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

: Mapping clearly identifies proposed changes to zones and heights.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council has recommended a 28 day exhibition period. This is considered appropriate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation The Wollongong LEP 2009 is in operation. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning
proposal :The site is currently zoned E2 Environmental Protection so the use of the site for the cider
processing operation and associated facilities will require changes to the Wollongong
LEP.Council considered various options - such as rezoning the property to a rural zone that
permits proposed uses, or rezoning to E3 Environmental Management and adding
proposed uses to the land use table for that zone. It was determined that this proposal
which rezones part of the site to E3 and permits the specific additional uses through
Schedule 1 is the most appropriate approach.This will preserve the environmental values of the site and restrict proposed uses to this
site rather than allowing them broadly across all E3 zoned land.

117 Darkes Forest Rd Darkes Forest - use of former mine site for cider processing facility (no housing) Consistency with The proposal is consistent with the Illawarra Shoalhaven Regional Plan as it will protect strategic planning areas of high environmental value while supporting a strong and diversified economy. framework : This proposal supports a local business and provides alternative activities for visitors to the region. Council has advised that the proposal is consistent with Wollongong 2022. As discussed in this report, the proposal is generally consistent with relevant state policies and directions. Additional information will be required to demonstrate consistency with s117 Direction 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments and SEPP Sydney Drinking Water Catchment 2011 and Greater Metropolitan Regional Environmental Plan No.2 - Georges **River Catchment.** Environmental social The proposal supports an existing local food producer - Glenbernie Orchard - by enabling economic impacts : it to process cider in one location. Currently the operation involves processing in 3 separate - and far flung - locations: crushing at Thirlmere, fermenting at Sutton Forest, and bottling in Western Sydney. Processing in a single location will make the operation more efficient and will also achieve environmental benefits by reducing food miles associated with the cider production. The additional uses - such as cooking school and cellar door - will attract visitors to the area and provide economic benefits. This proposal provides for the re-use of unused former mine buildings that are currently vacant and subject to ongoing vandalism. The proposal will protect environmental values by restricting development to existing disturbed/developed areas of the site. Environmental impacts will be further considered as part of the planning proposal process through additional studies into bushfire, water quality and contamination. Assessment Process Proposal type : Routine Community Consultation 28 Days Period : Timeframe to make 12 months **RPA** Delegation : LEP : Public Authority Sydney Catchment Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Office of Environment and Heritage Office of Environment and Heritage - NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service 1 **NSW Rural Fire Service Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services** Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No Yes (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? If no, provide reasons :

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

If Other, provide reasons :

Council considers that additional information is required as follows: * Potable water and waste water assessment

* Bushfire

- * Aboriginal Cultural heritage
- * Ecological impact assessment
- * Contamination assessment

Council has asked that these studies be required as a condition of the Gateway determination.

In order to satisfy Ministerial directions and State policies relating to water quality and bushfire, it is appropriate to require additional information on these issues - and to include this requirement as a Gateway condition.

Potential contamination is a significant issue - given the site's previous use as a mine - so additional information should be sought on this issue.

It is not considered necessary to include a requirement for investigations into Aboriginal cultural heritage or ecological impacts as a condition of the Gateway. This is because the proposal relates to parts of the site that are already disturbed or developed and as these issues would need to be undertaken as part of any development application for use of the site.

Regardless, Council will need to be satisfied that it has sufficient information on these issues, including to address any concerns/questions that may arise during Agency consultation in order to progress the planning proposal. There is no obstacle to Council requiring additional information on any issue it considers relevant.

DocumentType Name

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name

Planning Team Recommendation

S.117 directions:	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	3.3 Home Occupations
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the Director Regions, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Wollongong Local Environmental Plan 2009 to:
	* rezone part of Lot 86 DP 752054 (117) Darkes Forest Road, Darkes Forest from E2
	Environmental Conservation to E3 Environmental Management;
	* add Lot 86 DP 752054 (117) Darkes Forest Road, Darkes Forest to Schedule 1 to permit - agricultural produce industry (fruit crushing, fermenting and bottling)
	 information and education facility (visitor centre)
	- industrial retail outlet (cellar door premises) and
	- food and drink premises (cooking school and cafe); and

Is Public

* apply a maximum building height of 9m to the proposed E3 zoned areas

should proceed subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to community consultation the planning proposal is to be updated to:

a) include the information on the following issues to address potential impacts of the proposal:

- water quality;
- bushfire; and
- contamination.

Council shall consult with the Department of Planning and Environment's Director, Southern Region once water quality investigations have been completed to determine the proposal's consistency with s117 Direction 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments.

2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under Section 56(2)(d) of the Act and to comply with the requirements of relevant Section 117 Directions:

- * Office of Environment and Heritage
- * Office of Environment and Heritage (NPWS)
- * NSW Rural Fire Service
- * Transport for NSW Roads and Maritime Services
- * Water NSW
- * Endeavour Energy
- * Illawarra Aboriginal Land Council

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal. Any agency advice received and Council's proposed response to this advice should be placed on public exhibition with the planning proposal.

3. Community consultation is required under Sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:

a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and

b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in Section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans (Department of Planning and Environment 2016).

4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under Section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example if reclassifying land).

5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the date of the Gateway determination.

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

6. The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that inconsistency with s117 Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones is of minor significance.

7.The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection following consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service.

8. The Secretary's delegate will be consulted once water quality investigations have

117 Darkes Forest Rd Darkes Forest - use of former mine site for cider processing fa	acility
(no housing)	

	been done to determine consistency with s117 Direction 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments.		
	9.The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with other relevant s117 Directions, or that any inconsistencies are of minor significance.		
Supporting Reasons :	This proposal will support a local business, provide for re-use of existing buildings and infrastructure, provide a local tourism operation, while retaining protection for environmentally sensitive areas.		
Signature:	MTOLIN A/TEAN LEADER, Southern		
Printed Name:	GRAMAM TOWERS Date: 18/7/17.		

.